

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	iO.   I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,987 10/31/2003		10/31/2003	Akira Ishikawa	0756-7217	8971
31780	7590	09/21/2005		EXAMINER	
	DBINSON		PICARDAT, KEVIN M		
PMB 955 21010 SOUTHBANK ST.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
POTOMA	POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165			2822	
				DATE MAILED: 09/21/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summan.	10/697,987	ISHIKAWA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kevin M. Picardat	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)  🏻	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	ine 2005.	·				
		action is non-final.					
	<del>/ -</del>						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
·	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.						
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) <u>11-25 and 36-41</u> is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-1-23 and 36-31</u> is/are rejected.						
	•						
	•	ciccion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 6-27-05.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 and 26-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtani et al. US 200//0000613, in view of Harvey US 6,057,227.

Ohtani et al. discloses a TFT device and method of making including an insulating film (102) over an electrode (101), a contact hole in the insulating film, and a pixel electrode (104) embedded in the contact hole, wherein the pixel electrode is ITO and is baked, and the device can be a video camera, a still camera, a projector, etc. (see figs. 1A-2C and related text).

Ohtani et al. however does not disclose the specific steps of making contact openings for the pixel electrode.

Harvey teaches various methods for making electrodes including having a contact hole and a "concave portion" connected to the contact hole and that an etch stop layer may be used.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the techniques and structure of Harvey to make the pixel electrodes of Ohtani et al., because it allows for a more accurate and reliable formation of connections of electrodes.

Art Unit: 2822

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-25 and 36-41 are allowed over the prior art.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 27 June 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that there is no reason to combine the references, however the examiner disagrees. Ohtani et al. discloses that basically any known method could be used to make the contact openings and contacts (see paragraph 0080), and Harvey teaches forming contacts which limit the inaccuracies the known methods (see col. 1, lines 38-62).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M. Picardat whose telephone number is 571-272-1841. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2822

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin M. Picardat Primary Examiner Art Unit 2822

kmp